

Brace algebras and the cohomology comparison theorem^(*).

Frédéric Patras^(**)

Abstract. The Gerstenhaber and Schack cohomology comparison theorem asserts that there is a cochain equivalence between the Hochschild complex of a certain algebra and the usual singular cochain complex of a space. We show that this comparison theorem preserves the brace algebra structures. This result gives a structural reason for the recent results establishing fine topological structures on the Hochschild cohomology, and a simple way to derive them from the corresponding properties of cochain complexes.

A.M.S Classification. 16E40; 55N10; 18D50; 55P48

Keywords. Brace algebra - Hochschild cohomology - Singular cohomology.

(*) Revised version of : The bar construction as a Hopf algebra, December 2001.

(**) CNRS UMR 6621 - Université de Nice, Mathématiques, Parc Valrose, 06108 Nice cedex 2, France. *patras@math.unice.fr* Tel. 00 33 (0) 492076262, Fax. 00 33 (0) 493517974.

Introduction

A theorem of Gerstenhaber and Schack (the cohomology comparison theorem, CCT) asserts that, for a given triangulated topological space, there exists an associative algebra A and a quasi-isomorphism of cochain complexes between the cohomological Hochschild complex of A and the singular cochain complex of the space [5, 6]. Besides, there are brace differential graded algebra (BDGA) structures on the cohomological Hochschild complexes of associative algebras and on the singular cochain complexes. We prove that the Gerstenhaber-Schack quasi-isomorphism preserves these algebraic structures. This result should make clear the origin of the fine topological structures appearing on the Hochschild cohomology according to the Deligne conjecture [10, 12, 11], and why cochain algebras and Hochschild complexes share many algebraic properties, the CCT providing a systematic tool for "structure transportation" between the two theories.

1 Brace differential graded algebras

Let us introduce first BDGAs. These algebras first appeared in the work of Getzler-Jones on algebras up to homotopy (without a specific name) as a particular case of B_∞ -algebras, associated in particular to Hochschild complexes of associative algebras, see [4, Sect. 5.2]. When Gerstenhaber and Voronov studied them more in detail [7, 14, 13], they decided to call these algebras homotopy G -algebras. However, this terminology appeared to be a misleading one after Tamarkin had shown that the name G (erstenhaber)-algebra up to homotopy should be naturally given to another class of algebras [12]. We therefore call them by a name that reflects their properties and should not create confusion, namely: brace differential graded algebras.

The basic idea is that BDGAs are associative differential graded algebras together with extra (brace) operations that behave exactly as the Kadeishvili-Getzler brace operations on the Hochschild cohomological complex of an associative algebra [8, 3]. We write, as usual, $B(A)$ for the cobar coalgebra over a differential graded algebra (DGA) A , where the product is written $\cdot$ and the differential (of degree +1) δ . That is, $B(A)$ is the cofree graded coalgebra $T(A[1]) := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A[1]^{\otimes n}$ over the desuspension $A[1]$ of A ($A[1]_n := A_{n+1}$). We use the bar notation and write $[a_1 | \dots | a_n]$ for $a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \in A[1]^{\otimes n}$. In particular, the coproduct on $T(A[1])$ is given by:

$$\Delta[a_1 | \dots | a_n] := \sum_{i=0}^n [a_1 | \dots | a_i] \otimes [a_{i+1} | \dots | a_n].$$

There is a differential coalgebra structure on $B(A)$ induced by the DGA structure on A . In fact, since $B(A)$ is cofree as a graded coalgebra, the properties of the cofree coalgebra functor imply that, in general, a coderivation $D \in \text{Coder}(B(A))$ is entirely determined by the composition (written as a degree 0 morphism):

$$\tilde{D} : B(A) \xrightarrow{D} B(A)[1] \xrightarrow{p} A[2],$$

where p is the natural projection. In particular, the differential d on $B(A)$ is induced by the maps:

$$\delta : A[1] \longrightarrow A[2],$$

and

$$\mu : A[1] \otimes A[1] \longrightarrow A[2],$$

where $\mu(a, b) := (-1)^{|a|} a \cdot b$. The algebra A is a BDGA if it is provided with a set of extra-operations called the braces:

$$B_k : A[1] \otimes A[1]^{\otimes k} \longrightarrow A[1], \quad k \geq 1,$$

satisfying certain relations. These relations express exactly the fact that the braces have to induce a differential Hopf algebra structure on $B(A)$. Explicitly, the relations satisfied by the braces are then [4, Sect. 5.2] and [9, 13] (we use Getzler's notation: $v\{v_1, \dots, v_n\} := B_n(v \otimes (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n))$) :

1. The brace relations (the associativity relations for the product on $B(A)$).

$$(v\{v_1, \dots, v_m\})\{w_1, \dots, w_n\} = \sum_{0 \leq i_1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq i_m \leq j_m \leq n} (-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^m (|v_k| - 1) (\sum_{l=1}^{i_k} (|w_l| - 1))}$$

$$v\{w_1, \dots, w_{i_1}, v_1\{w_{i_1+1}, \dots, w_{j_1}\}, w_{j_1+1}, \dots, v_m\{w_{i_m+1}, \dots, w_{j_m}\}, w_{j_m+1}, \dots, w_n\},$$

with the usual conventions on indices: for example, an expression such as $v_5\{w_7, \dots, w_6\}$ has to be read $v_5\{\emptyset\} = v_5$.

2. The distributivity relations of the product w.r. to the braces.

$$(v \cdot w)\{v_1, \dots, v_n\} = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{|w| \sum_{p=1}^k (|v_p| - 1)} v\{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \cdot w\{v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\},$$

3. The boundary relations.

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta(v\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}) - \delta v\{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{|v| + |v_1| + \dots + |v_{i-1}| - i + 1} v\{v_1, \dots, \delta v_i, \dots, v_n\} \\ & = (-1)^{|v|(|v_1| - 1)} v_1 \cdot (v\{v_2, \dots, v_n\}) \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{|v| + |v_1| + \dots + |v_i| - i - 1} v\{v_1, \dots, v_i \cdot v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n\} \\ & + (-1)^{|v| + |v_1| + \dots + |v_{n-1}| - n} (v\{v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}) \cdot v_n. \end{aligned}$$

Let us write down explicit formulas for the BDGA structure on the Hochschild cochain complex $C^*(A, A)$ of an associative algebra A over a commutative unital ring k . Recall that $C^n(A, A) = \text{Hom}_k(A^{\otimes n}, A)$ and that the brace operations on $C^*(A, A)$ are the multilinear operators defined for $x, x_1, \dots, x_n$ homogeneous elements in $C^*(A, A)$ and $a_1, \dots, a_m$ elements of A by:

$$\{x\}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}(a_1, \dots, a_m) := \sum_{0 \leq i_1 \leq i_1 + |x_1| \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_n + |x_n| \leq n} (-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^n i_k \cdot (|x_k| - 1)} x(a_1, \dots, a_{i_1}, x_1(a_{i_1+1}, \dots, a_{i_1+|x_1|}), \dots, a_{i_n}, x_n(a_{i_n+1}, \dots, a_{i_n+|x_n|}), \dots, a_m).$$

The other operations defining the BDGA structure, δ and $\cdot$ are, respectively, the Hochschild coboundary and the cup product.

There is also a BDGA structure on the cochain complex of a simplicial set [4, 7].

Recall that a simplicial set is a contravariant functor from the category $\mathbf{\Delta}$ of finite sets $[n] = \{0, \dots, n\}$ and increasing morphisms to **Sets**. For a simplicial set $S : \mathbf{\Delta} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$, for $\sigma \in S_n := S([n])$, and for a strictly increasing sequence $0 \leq a_0 < \dots < a_m \leq n$, we write $\sigma(a_0, \dots, a_m)$ for $i_a^*(\sigma) \in S_m$, where i_a is the unique map from $[m]$ to $[n]$ sending $[m]$ to $\{a_0, \dots, a_m\}$. Define a map $\Delta_{1,r}$ from the singular complex of X , $C_*(X)$ to $C_*(X) \otimes C_*(X)^{\otimes r}$ as follows. For $\sigma \in X_n$, set:

$$\Delta_{1,r}(\sigma) := \sum_{0 \leq b'_1 \leq b_1 \leq \dots \leq b'_r \leq b_r \leq n} (-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^r ((b_k - b'_k) b'_k)} \sigma(0, 1, \dots, b'_1, b_1, b_1 + 1, \dots, b'_2, b_2, \dots, b'_r, b_r, \dots, n-1, n) \otimes (\sigma(b'_1, \dots, b_1) \otimes \sigma(b'_2, \dots, b_2) \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma(b'_r, \dots, b_r)).$$

Dualizing $\Delta_{1,r}$, we get a map from $C^*(X) \otimes C^*(X)^{\otimes r}$ to $C^*(X)$. By analogy with the case of Hochschild cochains, we write $\sigma\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r\}$ for $\Delta_{1,r}^*(\sigma \otimes (\sigma_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma_r))$. These brace operations on cochains, together with the simplicial coboundary and the cup product induce a BDGA structure on the bar coalgebra on $C^*(X)$.

2 The cohomology comparison theorem

Recall Gerstenhaber and Schack's cohomology comparison theorem for finite simplicial complexes and their incidence algebras [5, 6]. Let Σ be a subset of the set of subsets of a finite set S . Then, Σ is a finite simplicial complex if, for each σ in Σ , the set of subsets of σ (viewed as a subset of S) is a subset of Σ . If $|\sigma| = n+1$, σ is called a n -simplex of Σ . The elements of Σ are ordered by inclusion and, in particular, we can view Σ as a poset. We write $\leq$ for the inclusion between the simplices of Σ .

To Σ are associated canonically two objects, both of which compute its simplicial cohomology. The first one, written $\tilde{\Sigma}$, is the usual barycentric subdivision of Σ . It is the simplicial set whose n -simplices are the ordered morphisms (weakly

increasing maps) from the ordered set $\{0, \dots, n\}$ to Σ or, equivalently, the increasing sequences in Σ , written $\sigma_0 \leq \dots \leq \sigma_n$. The cohomology of $\hat{\Sigma}$ is isomorphic to the simplicial cohomology of Σ .

The other object is the incidence algebra I_Σ of the poset Σ : it is the algebra generated linearly (over a commutative ring k) by the pairs of simplices (σ, σ') with $\sigma \leq \sigma'$. The product of two pairs (σ, σ') and (β, β') is (σ, β') if $\sigma' = \beta$ and 0 else. This algebra is a triangular algebra. The Hochschild cohomology of such algebras can be computed explicitly by means of a spectral sequence, introduced recently by S. Dourlens [1]. We refer from now on to [6] and [1] for the general properties of the Hochschild cohomology of triangular and incidence algebras that are recalled below.

The incidence algebra I_Σ has a separable subalgebra S_Σ generated as a k -algebra by the pairs (σ, σ) . The n -cochains of the Hochschild complex of I_Σ relative to S_Σ are the elements of $\text{Hom}_{S_\Sigma-S_\Sigma}(I_\Sigma^{\otimes_{S_\Sigma} n}, I_\Sigma)$, with the usual formula for the Hochschild coboundary. This relative Hochschild complex, written $C_{S_\Sigma}^*(I_\Sigma, I_\Sigma)$, computes the usual Hochschild cohomology of I_Σ . A direct inspection shows that $\text{Hom}_{S_\Sigma-S_\Sigma}(I_\Sigma^{\otimes_{S_\Sigma} n}, I_\Sigma)$ is generated linearly (over k) by the maps sending a given tensor product $((\sigma_0, \sigma_1), (\sigma_1, \sigma_2), \dots, (\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n))$ to (σ_0, σ_n) , where the (σ_i, σ_{i+1}) s belong to the set of generators of I_Σ , and all the other tensor products of generators of I_Σ to 0.

The Gerstenhaber and Schack cohomology comparison theorem states that there is a canonical cochain isomorphism between the singular cohomology of the barycentric subdivision of Σ and this relative Hochschild complex. See [6] (in particular sect. 23) for details, generalizations, and a survey of the history of this theorem.

Theorem 1 *There is a cochain algebra isomorphism ι between the singular complex of $\hat{\Sigma}$ and the relative Hochschild complex of I_Σ given by: for $f \in \text{Hom}_k(\hat{\Sigma}_n, k)$*

$$\iota(f)((\sigma_0, \sigma_1), (\sigma_1, \sigma_2), \dots, (\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n)) := f(\sigma_0 \leq \sigma_1 \leq \dots \leq \sigma_n) \cdot (\sigma_0, \sigma_n).$$

In particular:

$$HH^*(I_\Sigma, I_\Sigma) \cong H^*(\Sigma, k).$$

Proposition 1 *The isomorphism ι commutes with the action of the brace operations on $C^*(\hat{\Sigma}, k)$ and $C_{S_\Sigma}^*(I_\Sigma, I_\Sigma)$.*

Indeed, let $f, f_1, \dots, f_k$ belong respectively to $C^n(\hat{\Sigma})$, $C^{n_1}(\hat{\Sigma})$, ..., $C^{n_k}(\hat{\Sigma})$. Let $(\sigma_0 \leq \sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2 \leq \dots \leq \sigma_{m-1} \leq \sigma_m) \in \hat{\Sigma}_m$, where $m := n + n_1 + \dots + n_k - k$. Let us also introduce the following useful convention. Let e.g. $(\sigma_{i_0}, \sigma_{i_1}, k_1, k_2, \sigma_{i_3}, \dots, \sigma_{i_q}, k_p)$ be any sequence, the elements of which are either scalars, either simplices of Σ , and assume that $(\sigma_{i_0} \leq \sigma_{i_1} \leq \dots \leq \sigma_{i_q})$ is a simplex of $\hat{\Sigma}$. Then, we write $f(\sigma_{i_0}, \sigma_{i_1}, k_1, k_2, \sigma_{i_3}, \dots, \sigma_{i_q}, k_p)$ for $(\prod_{i=1}^p k_i) \cdot f(\sigma_{i_0} \leq \sigma_{i_1} \leq \dots \leq \sigma_{i_q})$.

Then, we have, according to the definition of the braces:

$$\begin{aligned}
& f\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}(\sigma_0 \leq \sigma_1 \leq \dots \leq \sigma_m) \\
&= \sum \pm f(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{i_1}, f_1(\sigma_{i_1} \leq \dots \leq \sigma_{i_1+n_1}), \sigma_{i_1+n_1}, \dots, \sigma_{i_k}, \\
&\quad f_k(\sigma_{i_k} \leq \dots \leq \sigma_{i_k+n_k}), \sigma_{i_k+n_k}, \dots, \sigma_m).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iota(f\{f_1, \dots, f_k\})((\sigma_0, \sigma_1), (\sigma_1, \sigma_2), \dots, (\sigma_{m-1}, \sigma_m)) \\
&= \{ \sum \pm f(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{i_1}, f_1(\sigma_{i_1} \leq \dots \leq \sigma_{i_1+n_1}), \sigma_{i_1+n_1}, \dots, \sigma_{i_k}, \\
&\quad f_k(\sigma_{i_k} \leq \dots \leq \sigma_{i_k+n_k}), \sigma_{i_k+n_k}, \dots, \sigma_m) \} \cdot (\sigma_0, \sigma_m). \\
&= \sum \pm \iota(f)((\sigma_0, \sigma_1), \dots, (\sigma_{i_1-1}, \sigma_{i_1}), f_1(\sigma_{i_1} \leq \dots \leq \sigma_{i_1+n_1}) \cdot (\sigma_{i_1}, \sigma_{i_1+n_1}), \\
&\quad (\sigma_{i_1+n_1}, \sigma_{i_1+n_1+1}), \dots, (\sigma_{i_k-1}, \sigma_{i_k}), \\
&\quad f_k(\sigma_{i_k} \leq \dots \leq \sigma_{i_k+n_k}) \cdot (\sigma_{i_k}, \sigma_{i_k+n_k}), \dots, (\sigma_{m-1}, \sigma_m)) \\
&= \sum \pm \iota(f)\{\iota(f_1), \dots, \iota(f_k)\}((\sigma_0, \sigma_1), (\sigma_1, \sigma_2), \dots, (\sigma_{m-1}, \sigma_m)),
\end{aligned}$$

and the proof of the proposition follows.

Notice that the signs in the definition of the brace operations on cochains have been chosen in such a way that the last identity holds.

Theorem 2 *The morphism ι is an isomorphism of BDGAs between the singular cochain complex of the barycentric subdivision of a finite simplicial complex Σ and the S_Σ -relative Hochschild cochain complex of the incidence algebra of Σ .*

In particular, the cohomology comparison theorem of Gerstenhaber and Schack relating singular cohomology and Hochschild cohomology can be realized, at the cochain level, as a quasi-isomorphism of BDGAs.

References

- [1] Dourlens, S. On the Hochschild cohomology of triangular algebras. Comm. in Algebra. To appear.
- [2] Gerstenhaber, M. The cohomology structure of an associative ring. Ann. Math. (2) 78, 267-288 (1963).
- [3] Getzler, E. Cartan homotopy formulas and the Gauss-Manin connection in cyclic homology. Isr. Math. Conf. Proc. 7, 65-78 (1993).
- [4] Getzler, E.; Jones J.D.S. Operads, homotopy algebra and iterated integrals. hep-th/9403055
- [5] Gerstenhaber, M.; Schack, S. D. Simplicial cohomology is Hochschild cohomology. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 30, 143-156 (1983).

- [6] Gerstenhaber, M.; Schack, S. D. Algebraic cohomology and deformation theory. Deformation theory of algebras and structures and applications, Nato Adv. Study Inst., Castelveccchio-Pascoli/Italy 1986, Nato ASI Ser., Ser. C, 11-264 (1988)
- [7] Gerstenhaber, M.; Voronov, A. A. Homotopy G -algebras and moduli space operad. Int. Math. Res. Not. 1995, No.3, 141-153 (1995).
- [8] Kadeishvili, T.V. Structure of the $A(\infty)$ -algebra and the Hochschild and Harrison cohomologies. Tr. Tbilis. Mat. Inst. Razmadze 91, 19-27 (1988)
- [9] Khalkhali, M. Operations on cyclic homology, the X complex, and a conjecture of Deligne. Commun. Math. Phys. 202, No.2, 309-323 (1999)
- [10] Kontsevich, M.; Soibelman, Y. Deformations of algebras over operads and the Deligne conjecture. Dito, Giuseppe (ed.) et al., Conférence Moshé Flato 1999: Quantization, deformation, and symmetries, Dijon, France, September 5-8, 1999. Volume I. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers. Math. Phys. Stud. 21, 255-307 (2000).
- [11] McClure, J. E.; Smith, J. H. A solution of Deligne's Hochschild cohomology conjecture. Davis, Donald M. (ed.) et al., Recent progress in homotopy theory. Contemp. Math. 293, 153-193 (2002).
- [12] Tamarkin, D.E. Another proof of M. Kontsevich formality theorem. math.QA/9803025
- [13] Voronov, A. A. Homotopy Gerstenhaber algebras. Dito, Giuseppe (ed.) et al., Conférence Moshé Flato 1999: Quantization, deformations, and symmetries, Dijon, France, September 5-8, 1999. Volume II. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers. Math. Phys. Stud. 22, 307-331 (2000).
- [14] Voronov, A.A.; Gerstenhaber, M. Higher operations on the Hochschild complex. Funct. Anal. Appl. 29, No.1, 1-5 (1995)